Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, George Defer Elementary School

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Lang	guage Arts / F	Reading							
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	91.6%	93.2%	54.8%	38.4%	6.8%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	89.8%	95%	97.1%	52.9%	44.3%	2.9%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	93.1%	93.9%	63.6%	30.3%	6.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	96%	97.1%	41.2%	55.9%	2.9%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	90.2%	92.5%	47.5%	45%	7.5%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	87.9%	94.1%	97.2%	63.9%	33.3%	2.8%	0%
Black or	2008-09	100%	75.1%	74.3%	78.6%	14.3%	64.3%	21.4%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
African American									
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	80.6%	85.5%	91.7%	8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	93.1%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	95.1%	90.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	84.6%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	90%	94%	98.2%	67.3%	30.9%	1.8%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	92.7%	96.7%	100%	62.3%	37.7%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	74.9%	90%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	82.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	82.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	71%	89.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	•	100%	79.1%	74.1%	72.7%	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	0%
Economically Disadvantage		<10	84.5%	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04									
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.8%	94.1%	94%	53.6%	40.5%	6%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	84.1%	92.9%	91.4%	44.4%	46.9%	6.2%	2.5%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.7%	94.4%	94.4%	55.6%	38.9%	5.6%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.1%	94.7%	91.9%	43.2%	48.6%	8.1%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	80.9%	93.8%	93.8%	52.1%	41.7%	6.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	82.1%	91.4%	90.9%	45.5%	45.5%	4.5%	4.5%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	65.6%	78.8%	84.2%	10.5%	73.7%	15.8%	0%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	68.6%	71.9%	73.7%	21.1%	52.6%	26.3%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	91.6%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian,	2009-10	<10	90.5%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander									
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	74.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	88%	96.6%	98.4%	67.2%	31.1%	1.6%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	88.9%	96.5%	96.6%	54.2%	42.4%	0%	3.4%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	61.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	80.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	58%	80.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	4	100%	72.9%	66.7%	71.4%	21.4%	50%	28.6%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	4	100%	75.7%	78.1%	80%	13.3%	66.7%	20%	0%

Grade: 05

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	93.4%	94.9%	71.8%	23.1%	1.3%	3.8%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	95.9%	90.8%	63.2%	27.6%	4.6%	4.6%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	95.7%	97%	69.7%	27.3%	0%	3%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	96.8%	91.7%	69.4%	22.2%	5.6%	2.8%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	91.2%	93.3%	73.3%	20%	2.2%	4.4%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	95.1%	90.2%	58.8%	31.4%	3.9%	5.9%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	63.5%	77%	81.8%	50%	31.8%	4.5%	13.6%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	70.4%	80.8%	82.6%	39.1%	43.5%	8.7%	8.7%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	90.6%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	71.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	86.9%	96.1%	100%	82%	18%	0%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	89.8%	98%	93.3%	73.3%	20%	3.3%	3.3%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	82.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	56.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	61.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	49.2%	70.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	57.6%	83.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	ľ	100%	70.5%	77%	82.4%	29.4%	52.9%	0%	17.6%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	76.6%	75%	72.2%	27.8%	44.4%	5.6%	22.2%

Grade: 03

All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	96.6%	95.9%	71.2%	24.7%	4.1%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	97.6%	100%	77.1%	22.9%	0%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	96.4%	93.9%	69.7%	24.2%	6.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	97.1%	100%	64.7%	35.3%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	96.8%	97.5%	72.5%	25%	2.5%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	98%	100%	88.9%	11.1%	0%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	78.9%	82.4%	85.7%	35.7%	50%	14.3%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	87.7%	90.4%	100%	33.3%	66.7%	0%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	96%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	98%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	92.3%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	94.9%	98.8%	100%	81.8%	18.2%	0%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	96.9%	98.7%	100%	86.8%	13.2%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	92%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	85.1%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	92.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	89%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with	2009-10	<10	87.8%	97%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Disabilities									
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	85.7%	83.3%	81.8%	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	ii a	<10	91.9%	91.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04									
All Students	2008-09	100%	87.9%	96.6%	94.1%	78.8%	15.3%	5.9%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	92.3%	97.3%	92.5%	67.5%	25%	6.3%	1.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	87.9%	96.7%	91.9%	75.7%	16.2%	8.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	92.9%	97.5%	91.7%	63.9%	27.8%	8.3%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	87.8%	96.6%	95.8%	81.3%	14.6%	4.2%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	91.6%	97.2%	93.2%	70.5%	22.7%	4.5%	2.3%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	73.8%	87.9%	84.2%	47.4%	36.8%	15.8%	0%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	82.3%	86.5%	72.2%	33.3%	38.9%	27.8%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	95.1%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian,	2009-10	<10	96.9%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
or Pacific Islander									
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	89.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	92%	98%	98.4%	88.7%	9.7%	1.6%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	95.1%	99.2%	98.3%	78%	20.3%	0%	1.7%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	86.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	75.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	86.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	68.1%	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	80%	92.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	80.4%	82.1%	71.4%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	0%
Economicall Disadvantage	1	100%	87.8%	92%	80%	46.7%	33.3%	20%	0%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	88%	87.2%	69.2%	17.9%	9%	3.8%
		_		ļ	!	1			ļ

90.8%

69%

21.8%

8%

1.1%

100%

All Students 2009-10

79.5%

93.4%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	87.8%	87.9%	69.7%	18.2%	9.1%	3%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	93.6%	91.7%	66.7%	25%	5.6%	2.8%
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	88.2%	86.7%	68.9%	17.8%	8.9%	4.4%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	93.3%	90.2%	70.6%	19.6%	9.8%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	55.2%	72.4%	72.7%	54.5%	18.2%	13.6%	13.6%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	62.5%	80.8%	73.9%	39.1%	34.8%	26.1%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	93%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	66.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	71%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	83%	90.9%	94%	76%	18%	6%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	84.3%	95.2%	96.7%	80%	16.7%	1.7%	1.7%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	76.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	67.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	46.9%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.8%	76.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	ſ	100%	64.4%	70.5%	64.7%	35.3%	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%
Economically Disadvantage	ľ	100%	69.8%	76.7%	72.2%	33.3%	38.9%	27.8%	0%

Science

Grade: 05

All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	91.7%	91%	69.2%	21.8%	7.7%	1.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	92.8%	87.4%	56.3%	31%	10.3%	2.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	94.7%	90.9%	66.7%	24.2%	9.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	94%	86.1%	50%	36.1%	8.3%	5.6%
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	88.9%	91.1%	71.1%	20%	6.7%	2.2%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	91.8%	88.2%	60.8%	27.5%	11.8%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	61.8%	71.3%	68.2%	40.9%	27.3%	27.3%	4.5%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	59.6%	69.6%	73.9%	21.7%	52.2%	17.4%	8.7%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	88.8%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	72.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	68.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	89.4%	95%	100%	84%	16%	0%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	96.2%	91.7%	70%	21.7%	8.3%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	84.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	59%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.6%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	60.7%	77.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	72.3%	71%	64.7%	35.3%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%
Economicall Disadvantage	1	100%	70.6%	63.9%	55.6%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	Students	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	
No records to	display.							

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Langu	age Arts							
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
White	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	61.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.				•			

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Reading								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	52.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	•					,	,	

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	62.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	65.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	60.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, George Defer Elementary School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	97.5%
Mathematics	99.8%	97.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	97.2%
Mathematics	100%	97.6%
State English Language Arts / Booding	07.70/	99.40/
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.6%	91.7%
Mathematics	99.6%	91.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	92.3%
Mathematics	100%	93.8%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
American Indian or Alaska Native		•
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	100%
Mathematics	100%	98.9%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	100%
Mathematics	100%	100%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	98.3%
Mathematics	99.8%	98.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	98.6%
Mathematics	100%	98.6%
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	94.1%	100%
Mathematics	97.1%	96.7%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.9%	86.2%
Mathematics	98.9%	85%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	110.8%	93.8%
Mathematics	110.8%	93.5%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	131.3%	89.1%
Mathematics	131.3%	92.7%

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access. * AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students		
State		
	75.39%	
District		
	>95%	
Black or African American		

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
State	
56.59%	
District	
85.87%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	
65%	
District	
<10	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
State	
84.47%	
District	
>95%	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
	59.94%	
District		
	91.67%	
White		
State		
	81.85%	
District		
	>95%	
Multiracial		
State		
	71.12%	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
District		
	<10	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	65.51%	
District		
	<10	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	57.61%	
District		
	82.35%	
Economically Disadvantaged		

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
tate	
59.8%	
District	
82%	
Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
all Students	
tate	
94.7%	
District	
96.4%	-
chool	
96.1%	
Black or African American	
tate	

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
91%	
District	
95.3%	
School	
94.8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	
93.7%	
District	
94.8%	
School	
97.1%	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
State	

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	96.5%	
District		
	96.6%	
School		
	95.5%	
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
	94.1%	
District		
	96.3%	
School		
	96%	
White		
State		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	95.7%	
District		
	96.6%	
School		
	96.5%	
Multiracial		
State		
	94.8%	
District		
	95.9%	
School		
	93.6%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	94.6%	
District		
	95.7%	
School		
	95.7%	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	93.5%	
District		
	95.5%	
School		
	93.2%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	94.8%	
District		
	96.4%	
School		
	95.8%	

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%

^{**} More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link: http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709 22875---,00.html

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting

for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, George Defer Elementary School

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Yes	Met	Met	Met	A	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, George Defer Elementary School

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	6	30	0
Qualifications of All				
Public Elementary and				
Secondary School				
Teachers in the School				

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers	0%
in the School with Emergency Certification	

	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary	0%
School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56 ‡	36 11 ‡	47 40 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 9 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	71 20 5 3 1	14 52 29 13 ‡	43 39 51 32 ‡	37 9 19 36 ‡	6 0 1 19 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD	12 88	42 19	39 44	17 31	2 6

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Not SD					
Student is an English					
Language Learner	3	48	40	11	1
ELL	97	21	43	31	5
Not ELL					

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
IH I101hIA	38 62 ‡	50 21 ‡	37 38 ‡	12 31 ‡	1 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1	23 68 38 11 ‡	40 27 45 30 ‡	29 4 15 31 ‡	8 1 2 28 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD	10 90	75 27	22 39	2 27	1 7

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Not SD					
Student is an English					
Language Learner	2	58	32	10	0
ELL	98	32	37	24	7
Not ELL					

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #	52 24 ‡	33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	71 19 5 3 1	28 65 49 21 ‡	36 26 34 37 ‡	28 7 15 25 ‡	8 1 2 17 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Not SD					
Student is an English					
Language Learner	3	65	26	9	1
ELL	97	35	35	24	7
Not ELL					

[#] Rounds to zero

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	37 62 ‡	44 18 ‡	41 42 ‡	14 36 ‡	1 4 ‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability	9	73	22	4	#

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
SD Not SD	91	23	43	30	3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	60 27	33 42	8 28	# 3

[#] Rounds to zero

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading			81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8	Math Reading	76.39 70.72		76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.